

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,039 09/03/2003		09/03/2003	Joseph M. Jacobson	H-355	2038	
26245	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID J	COLE	ON	PASCHALL, MARK H			
	CORD AVI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CAMBRII	DGE, MA	02138-1002	3742			
				DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applies	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/605		JACOBSON ET A	L.			
	omee Action Cammary	Examir		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		Paschall	3742	idro o o			
Period fo		icauon appears on i	ne cover sneet with the t	correspondence ad	uress			
THE N - Exten after - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 March 200</u>	<u>5</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o			·			
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to be compared to the country of	a) ☐ accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) 🔯 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/18/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed prior art in the instant background of invention in view of Becker et al.

The prior art disclosed in the instant disclosure mentions use of liquid suspension and not the claimed gaseous suspension. The patent to Becker et al is applied for teaching that it is conventional to suspend electrophoretic particles in either gas or liquid. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the disclosed prior art to use gaseous suspension in lieu of liquid, such modification leading to a more versatile

Application/Control Number: 10/605,039 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

device, usable with a greater number of materials. Use of particular materials such as co2 as per the dependent claims is a matter of design, depending on the end use of the device. Use of different polarities and particles having different optical characteristics are also routine choices in design depending on the end use of the device.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742